PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



June 11, 2007

Agenda ID #6746 Ratesetting

TO PARTIES OF RECORD IN APPLICATION 04-07-001

This is the proposed decision of Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Walker. It will not appear on the Commission's agenda for at least 30 days after the date it is mailed. The Commission may act then, or it may postpone action until later.

When the Commission acts on the proposed decision, it may adopt all or part of it as written, amend or modify it, or set it aside and prepare its own decision. Only when the Commission acts does the decision become binding on the parties.

Parties to the proceeding may file comments on the proposed decision as provided in Article 14 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules), accessible on the Commission's website at www.cpuc.ca.gov. Pursuant to Rule 14.3, opening comments shall not exceed 15 pages.

Comments must be filed either electronically pursuant to Resolution ALJ-188 or with the Commission's Docket Office. Comments should be served on parties to this proceeding in accordance with Rules 1.9 and 1.10. Electronic and hard copies of comments should be sent to ALJ Walker at gew@cpuc.ca.gov and the assigned Commissioner. The current service list for this proceeding is available on the Commission's website at www.cpuc.ca.gov.

/s/ Angela K. Minkin
Angela K. Minkin, Chief
Administrative Law Judge

ANG:hl2

Attachment

Decision PROPOSED DECISION OF ALI WALKER (Mailed 6/11/2007)

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the San Luis Obispo County Public Works Department for an order authorizing construction of an at-grade pedestrian crossing in San Miguel, California, crossing Union Pacific Railroad in the vicinity of 16th Street, County of San Luis Obispo.

Application 04-07-001 (Filed July 1, 2004)

Patrick J. Foran, Attorney at Law, for San LuisObispo County, applicant.Carol A. Harris, Attorney at Law, forUnion Pacific Railroad Company, protestant.

OPINION APPROVING APPLICATION FOR RAIL CROSSING PURSUANT TO SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

1. Summary

This decision approves a settlement agreement permitting the San Luis Obispo County Public Works Department (the County) to construct an at-grade pedestrian crossing over the tracks of the Union Pacific Railroad Company (Union Pacific) in the vicinity of 16th Street in the community of San Miguel. The crossing will be at a location that now is a dirt path across the tracks, used daily by children to get to and from an elementary school. As one condition of this approval, our order today requires that, before constructing the new crossing, the County must close or facilitate the closing of one of the 108 active crossings of

279982 - 1 -

Union Pacific tracks in San Luis Obispo County, with a second closing to follow before any other new crossings are permitted. This proceeding is closed.

2. Procedural Background

The County filed this application on July 1, 2004, seeking to construct an at-grade pedestrian crossing of Union Pacific tracks, primarily to serve the 70 to 80 children who live near 16th Street¹ and who on weekdays go to and from their homes and the Lillian Larson Elementary School. According to the County, rapid growth of housing west of the proposed crossing has caused more and more children to use a dirt path across the tracks as a direct route to the school. The application was protested by Union Pacific, which maintained that children could be routed to an existing road crossing at 14th Street, which could be improved for pedestrians at less cost than building the new crossing.

Following the protest, this proceeding was reassigned from the Consumer Protection and Safety Division (CPSD) to the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) Division on September 3, 2004. No prehearing conference was conducted, but the parties in informal telephone conferences with the assigned ALJ sought and were given additional time to negotiate a settlement with the help of CPSD's Rail Crossings Engineering Section (RCES). When those efforts failed, the parties exchanged written testimony and participated in a public participation hearing in the community on April 19, 2006, followed by two days of hearing on April 20 and 21, 2006. The Commission heard from 10 witnesses and received 38 exhibits into evidence. Briefs were filed on June 12, 2006, and reply briefs

_

¹ The 16th Street does not cross over the Union Pacific railroad tracks. The 16th Street ends at the Union Pacific right-of-way, and pedestrians are on Union Pacific property when they cross the railroad tracks. The use of the term "16th Street crossing" in the application and in this decision refer to the crossing in the vicinity of 16th Street.

were filed on June 26, at which time the matter was deemed submitted for Commission consideration.

3. Proposed Rail Crossing

The County's application summarizes the need for the at-grade crossing as follows:

School children have been crossing the UPRR track in the vicinity of 16th Street in San Miguel to travel to and from the Lillian Larson Elementary School westerly of the site of the proposed crossing. There is no official crossing provided at that location resulting [in] an undesirable condition of random crossing of the tracks. The County desires to install an at-grade pedestrian crossing of the railroad tracks in that location to enhance safety by channeling pedestrians to an official crossing. The proposed crossing shall be designed in accordance with appropriate design requirements of the CPUC and UPRR to achieve an acceptable crossing. (Application, at 1.)

Union Pacific's traffic engineering witness suggested an alternative – blocking the 16th Street dirt path, fencing the track between 14th and 16th Streets and to the north of 16th Street to prevent trespassing, and enhancing the existing 14th Street crossing to include sidewalks and a traffic light. Many children already use 14th Street to reach the school, but that crossing would require an extra walk of six or seven blocks for the children who live north of that area and now use the path at 16th Street. The County's witnesses testified that Union Pacific's proposal would be less safe, since children would cross a number of intersections to reach 14th Street, cross the tracks and walk back to the school. Moreover, the 14th Street crossing has no dedicated public walkway, forcing pedestrians to share the road with vehicles.

Union Pacific also proposed an above-ground crossing at 16th Street, but its witnesses acknowledged that the cost (\$2.7 million) would be difficult to

justify for a relatively limited use. They also acknowledged that it would be difficult to prevent children from bypassing the structure, even with fencing, and crossing the tracks as they do now. Dean Smith, school superintendent, testified that children frequently climb or create holes in the fencing around the school's playfield.

County Supervisor Harry Ovitt testified that the problem will get worse, since San Miguel is experiencing rapid growth as a lower-cost bedroom community for nearby Paso Robles and San Luis Obispo. He estimated that about 750 new housing units will be built in the community within the next 10 years.

The Lillian Larson School is attended by 380 children, but it also serves many teenagers and adults with after-school programs. The athletic fields adjoining the school are the main recreational fields in San Miguel.

Union Pacific's witnesses testified that the need for a new rail crossing was never established in any traffic study and is not contemplated in the County's general plan or in the San Miguel Community Design Plan. They added that the County has made no investment in studying the gates and safety devices proposed for the new rail crossing, and that its suggestion for a locking gate device is untested and dangerous (since children could accidentally be locked on the tracks inside the gates). The County's witnesses admitted that they had no firm plans for fencing the tracks to prevent trespassing, and they may have to wait until they can impose fencing requirements on developers who seek permits for work on parcels adjacent to the tracks. The County expects to seek funding to build the crossing, but it had not done so at the time of the hearing.

Union Pacific notes that new public at-grade rail-pedestrian crossings over its tracks are rare. During the past 10 years, only one at-grade pedestrian

crossing open to public use has been authorized on a mainline route of the Union Pacific system in California. That one, at Morgan Hill, serves rail passengers crossing the tracks from a parking lot and downtown businesses. One other pedestrian crossing was authorized in 2005 in the City of Mendota, but the crossing there is over a branch line that serves only three trains per week, all operated at a maximum speed of 10 miles per hour.

The proposed at-grade crossing here is located on Union Pacific's "Coast Line," which provides a north/south route connecting the Los Angeles Basin with the San Francisco Bay Area and east to Union Pacific's Roseville yard. Union Pacific averages 10 through freight trains per day on this line operating at a maximum speed of 40 miles per hour. Amtrak operates two daily intercity passenger trains over the line. A local train operates on the line on Tuesday and Thursday afternoons.

4. Environmental Review

The County is the lead agency for this project under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), Pub. Res. Code § 21000 *et seq.* The County offered evidence to show that on March 17, 2006, it filed a Notice of Exemption for work at the proposed new crossing. The notice concludes that construction of a pedestrian walkway is classified as a minor alteration to land and is categorically exempt from CEQA review under § 21084 and CEQA Guideline 15301(c). The exemption was filed with the County Clerk and was available for public review for 30 days.

The Commission is a CEQA responsible agency, as defined in Pub. Res. Code § 21069, for the project. To comply with CEQA, a responsible agency must consider the lead agency's Environmental Impact Report or

Negative Declaration prior to acting upon or approving the project. (CEQA Guidelines §§ 15050, 15096.)

In this case, the lead agency has determined that the project is exempt from CEQA. We are aware of no reason why the determination of exemption for the project is not warranted. We find the Notice of Exemption adequate for our decision-making purposes. Accordingly, we concur in the County's determination that the project is exempt from CEQA.

5. Discussion

Pub. Util. Code § 1201 provides that no public road, highway or street shall be constructed at grade across a railroad track without prior approval of this Commission. The Commission has exclusive jurisdiction to require, where practicable, a separation of grades. (Pub. Util. Code § 1202.) The Commission has stated that the reason for this latter requirement is that

railroad grade separations constitute ultimate protection, since all grade crossing accidents and delays then are eliminated. It has long been recognized that the Commission should not grant applications for crossings at grade where there is a heavy movement of trains, unless public convenience and necessity absolutely demand such a crossing (*Mayfield v. S.P. Co.* (1913) 3 CRC 474). The advantages which might accrue by way of added convenience and financial benefit are outweighed by the dangers and hazards attendant upon a crossing at grade. Accident incidence is related to increases in the number of crossings; therefore, grade crossings should be avoided whenever it is possible to do so (*Kern County Bd. of Supervisors* (1951) 51 CPUC 317). (*City of San Mateo* (1982) 8 CPUC2d at 580-81.)

The Commission has set the bar high for approval of a new at-grade crossing of a heavy rail mainline:

Today in this State a proponent who desires to construct a new at-grade crossing over mainline railroad trackage carrying any appreciable volume of passenger traffic has a very heavy burden to carry. Against the aforestated formidable backdrop of fundamental statutory and professional opprobrium, he must convincingly show both that a separation is impracticable and that the public convenience and necessity absolutely require a crossing at grade. (*City of San Mateo, supra*, at 581.)

In *Re Los Angeles to Pasadena Metro Blue Line Construction*, 2002 Cal. PUC LEXIS 301, *15, the Commission provided guidance regarding the standards it will apply to determine whether a separated grade is practicable and whether an at-grade crossing is justified by public need and convenience, indicating that it will give consideration to the cost of a separation in comparison to the cost of an at-grade crossing. (It should be noted that this case involved the proposed crossing of a light rail system; light rail vehicles have superior stopping capabilities.)

The Commission indicated that it would consider an otherwise cost-prohibitive at-grade crossing if the applicant shows (1) elimination of all potential safety hazards; (2) concurrence of local authorities; (3) concurrence of local emergency authorities; (4) support by the general public; (5) cost justification; and (6) Commission staff concurrence.

The County here has shown broad community support for the proposed new crossing, particularly among parents of children who use the dirt path crossing. Since only pedestrians would use the crossing, emergency vehicles are unaffected. The County proposed safety devices at the crossing that would meet the requirements both of the Commission and Union Pacific. The County did not demonstrate that it will be able to timely fence the track corridor leading to the new crossing, nor did it demonstrate the support of the Commission's RCES, which urged a more comprehensive rail crossing plan for San Miguel and the

county. Similarly, the County did not show that a separated crossing was impracticable.

Solely on the merits of the County's application, therefore, a new at-grade crossing at 16th Street should be denied, with a recommendation that the County consider improving the nearby 14th Street crossing and routing children there or encouraging them to take an existing school bus service.

As a practical matter, however, the County has persuaded us – and Union Pacific's witnesses agree – that a growing number of children (and adults) are going to continue to use the dirt path crossing at 16th Street to get to school, as they have been doing for the past decade or more. Furthermore, even if the tracks are fenced the entire distance to 14th Street, fencing alone is not likely to deter children for long if the alternative is to walk a considerable distance out of their way to reach the school.

For these reasons, and primarily because the current situation puts children at risk, ALJ Walker on July 25, 2006, issued a proposed decision approving the application. The approval was subject to a number of conditions, including closure of two existing crossings, construction of tamper-proof fencing to discourage children from crossing tracks at open areas, installation of new safety devices at the approved crossings, and improvements to the existing 14th Street crossing.

The County and Union Pacific then requested an extension of time in which to comment on the proposed decision in order to further consider settlement. The extension was granted. On April 23, 2007, the parties moved for approval of a proposed settlement agreement pursuant to Rule 12.1 of the Rules

of Practice and Procedure. It is this settlement that the Commission approves today.²

The settlement agreement settles all issues between the applicant and Union Pacific and the Commission's rail crossing staff. The criteria for settlements are set forth in Rule 12.1(d) of the Rules of Practice and Procedure, which requires that a settlement be reasonable in light of the whole record, consistent with law, and in the public interest. We conclude that the settlement agreement is consistent with these criteria, and we approve it in the order that follows.

A. Terms of Settlement

The settlement agreement is attached to and made part of this decision as Exhibit A. Its terms include the following:

- The County shall permanently close one active crossing in San Luis Obispo County before beginning construction of the 16th Street crossing and shall arrange a second permanent closing before seeking any other new crossing.
- The County shall design and install a pedestrian pathway separate from the roadway at the existing 14th Street crossing.
- The County shall construct vandal-resistant fencing or other barriers along the railroad right-of-way from the existing 11th Street crossing to a point 200 feet north of the 16th Street crossing.
- The County will construct a concrete sidewalk and signalactivated flashing lights for the new pedestrian crossing, subject to approval of the Commission's RCES and Union Pacific.

² Under Rule 1.2, we waive the requirement that a settlement must be finalized within 30 days after the close of public hearings. The waiver is based on the unique circumstances of this case and the fact that children are at risk.

Union Pacific shall be responsible for maintenance of the signaling devices at the crossing.

6. Categorization

This proceeding was preliminarily categorized as ratesetting on July 8, 2004 by Resolution ALJ 176-3136. We also preliminarily determined that hearings were not necessary. With the filing of the protest by Union Pacific, a hearing was deemed necessary and has been conducted. The preliminary categorization of this proceeding is confirmed, but the determination on hearings is changed to find that hearings are necessary.

7. Comments on Proposed Decision

The proposed decision of ALJ Walker in this matter was mailed to the
parties in accordance with Section 311 of the Public Utilities Code and
Rule 14.2(a) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Comments
were filed on, and reply comments were filed on

8. Assignment of Proceeding

Michael R. Peevey is the assigned Commissioner and Glen Walker is the ALJ in this proceeding.

Findings of Fact

- 1. Notice of the application was published in the Commission Daily Calendar on July 8, 2004.
 - 2. Union Pacific on July 30, 2004, filed a timely protest to the application.
- 3. The County sought authority to construct a new at-grade pedestrian crossing of Union Pacific tracks in the vicinity of 16th Street in San Miguel.
- 4. Every weekday, about 70 or 80 pedestrians, most of them children, now use an unauthorized dirt path crossing at 16th Street to reach the Lillian Larson Elementary School.

- 5. Hearings were conducted in April 2006 in San Miguel, and a proposed decision approving the application, with conditions, was issued on July 25, 2006.
- 6. The parties were granted an extension of time to further consider settlement of this matter and, on April 23, 2007, requested approval of a proposed settlement agreement.
 - 7. The County is the CEQA lead agency for the project.
 - 8. The Commission is a responsible agency for the project under CEQA.
- 9. Pursuant to Rule 12.1(d) of the Rules of Practice and Procedure, the settlement agreement is reasonable in light of the whole record, consistent with law, and in the public interest.

Conclusions of Law

- 1. Commission approval of new rail crossings in this state is required by Pub. Util. Code §§ 1201 through 1205.
- 2. A proponent of a new at-grade crossing over mainline railroad tracks has a heavy burden because of the inherent safety hazards created by roadway-railway crossings.
- 3. The County has shown that children are at risk in crossing the tracks at unauthorized locations to reach the Lillian Larson Elementary School.
- 4. The application for construction of the new crossing should be granted pursuant to the terms of the parties' settlement agreement dated April 4, 2007.
- 5. The settlement agreement, attached hereto and made part of this decision, should be approved.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The application of the San Luis Obispo County Public Works Department (the County) for an order authorizing construction of an at-grade pedestrian

crossing in San Miguel, California, crossing the tracks of the Union Pacific Railroad (Union Pacific) in the vicinity of 16th Street, County of San Luis Obispo, is granted, subject to the conditions set forth in the parties' settlement agreement attached hereto as Exhibit A and made part of this decision. The new 16th Street crossing will be known as CPUC Crossing No. 001E-206.x0.

- 2. The County shall comply with all applicable General Orders and the Federal Highway Administration's Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, as amended by the California Supplement.
- 3. Within 30 days of completion of the work under this order, Union Pacific shall notify the Rail Crossing Engineering Section (RCES) in writing, by submitting a completed standard Commission Form G (Report of Changes at Highway Grade Crossings and Separations), that the authorized work is completed.
- 4. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within two years, pursuant to terms of the settlement agreement. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity or safety so require. A request for an extension of time must be submitted to RCES at least 30 days before the expiration of this authorization, with a copy of the request sent to all interested parties.
- 5. The Commission concurs in the County's conclusion that construction of the pedestrian walkway is categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act.
 - 6. Application 04-07-001 is closed.This order is effective today.Dated _______, at San Francisco, California.

EXHIBIT A

Settlement Agreement

This Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") dated April 4, 2007, is entered into, by and between San Luis Obispo County, a municipal corporation organized under the laws of the State of California ("County"), and Union Pacific Railroad Company, a Delaware corporation, which provides rail transportation service in twenty-three states, including the State of California ("UP"). The aforementioned entities are referred to collectively as the "Parties".

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the County has filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC"), designated Application No. 04-07-001 (the "Application") seeking authorization to construct an at-grade pedestrian crossing of UP's Coast Line in the vicinity of 16th Street in San Miguel, California at milepost 203.3 (the "Pedestrian Crossing").

WHEREAS, UP protested the application on July 29, 2004. A public participation hearing was held in the community before Administrative Law Judge Walker on April 19, 2006, followed by two days of evidentiary hearings on April 20 and 21, 2006. On July 25, 2006, ALJ Walker released his Proposed Decision granting the County's Application subject to conditions ("Proposed Decision")

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to work cooperatively to achieve their mutual objectives to improve and promote railroad crossing safety in San Miguel and to settle their differences in a manner that would permit the Pedestrian Crossing to be constructed in accordance with the terms set forth in the Proposed Decision as modified by this Settlement Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing recitals, the Parties to this Settlement Agreement hereby agree as follows:

- 1. The Parties agree to settle the protested Application proceeding pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement regarding the approval of the Pedestrian Crossing, subject to the conditions set forth below:
 - a) The County acknowledges that the involved rail line of UP is an active main line that is utilized daily by both freight and passenger trains, that the number of trains could increase in the future and the nature of the rail operations could change, and that consideration of railroad crossing safety must be included as a factor in all future land use planning and development decisions in all areas under the County's land use jurisdiction.
 - b) Through its planning process, the County agrees that it shall seek to reduce the number of at-grade crossings within the County's jurisdiction.
 - c) Before commencing construction of the Pedestrian Crossing, the County shall either close one public active grade crossing in San Luis Obispo County or, in conjunction with adjoining landowners, facilitate the closure of one private grade crossing in San Luis Obispo County. The permanent closure of at least one crossing is a condition precedent of UP's obligations under this Agreement to install the Pedestrian Crossing.
 - d) Thereafter, the County shall agree to close one additional public grade crossing in San Luis Obispo County or, in conjunction with adjoining landowners, facilitate the closure of one private grade crossing in San Luis Obispo County as a condition of CPUC approval of any additional grade crossing. If the County either closes at least one public grade crossing, or, in conjunction with adjoining landowners, facilitates the closure of at least one private grade crossing, and such private crossing is permanently closed, prior to filing any application with CPUC for any additional grade crossings, the County shall be entitled to a credit for said closure(s) in the CPUC proceedings

relating to said application, provided the fencing along the 11th to 14th Street Corridor has been substantially completed as of the date of the County's prospective application for an additional grade crossing.

- e) Within 6 months of the date that this Settlement Agreement is approved by the Commission, the County shall design and install the following improvements to the 14th Street crossing: a pedestrian pathway separate from the roadway. The time limit for completing these improvements will automatically be extended by the amount of time UP takes to review the plans and issue an encroachment permit and/or right of entry to perform this work.
- f) The County shall arrange for construction of vandal-resistant fencing or other barriers (walls, buildings) along at least one side of the railroad right-of-way, at a location mutually agreeable to UP and the County, commencing at the 11th Street crossing and continuing to 16th Street, and to the north of 16th Street for approximately 200 feet on the easterly side or 1,000 feet on the westerly side of the tracks, so as to connect the fencing with other pre-existing fences, barriers or other structures, so as to discourage random crossing of the tracks. UP shall cooperate with the County in the installation of fencing along its right-of-way by assisting the County in locating an appropriate fence line, and providing rights of entry over UP's lands. Prior to construction, the County shall erect temporary fencing or other similar means approximately 25 feet from the center of the track to restrict access to the track. At the request of the County, UP shall provide flagging protection as needed, at no cost to the County for any work that must be performed within the restricted area.

 The County and UP acknowledge that the fencing of one side of the 14th to 16th Street Corridor shall be completed within 2 years of the date of this agreement, and that all of the other fencing along the

11th to 14th Street Corridor shall be completed by the adjoining landowners as they develop their property.

- g) The Pedestrian Crossing shall be constructed and maintained subject to the following conditions:
 - i) The Pedestrian Crossing will include a concrete sidewalk and signal activated flashing lights. The County shall be responsible for the design, installation, and maintenance of the gate mechanism. The design shall be submitted to the Commission's Rail Crossings Engineering Section (RCES) and to UP for review and approval before construction begin. The signs will be in both Spanish and English.
 - ii) The County shall bear all costs of the design and construction of the Pedestrian Crossing.UP shall be responsible for maintenance of the signaling devices at the Pedestrian Crossing,subject to reimbursement under the Crossing Maintenance Fund.
 - iii) The County shall enter into a construction and maintenance agreement with UP for the Pedestrian Crossing that incorporates the provisions of this Settlement Agreement and shall comply with all applicable general Orders and the Federal Highway Administrations Manual on Uniform Control Devices, as amended by the California supplement.
 - iv) Within thirty (30) days of completion of the construction work at the Pedestrian Crossing, UP shall notify the RCES in writing, by submitting a completed standard Commission Form G (Report of Changes at Highway Grade Crossings and Separations), that the authorized work is completed.
- h) The County shall maintain "No Trespass" signs on both sides of the site of the proposed Pedestrian Crossing and shall employ all reasonable means to encourage the safe use of the crossing at 14th Street until the proposed Pedestrian Crossing is installed. Thereafter, with the assistance from the

A.04-07-001 ALJ/GEW/hl2

DRAFT

local school district, the County shall encourage the safe use of the Pedestrian Crossing. UP will assist by providing Operation Lifesaver or similar programs at the request of the County and/or school district.

- 2. The Parties acknowledge and agree that they execute this Settlement Agreement in good faith and in an attempt to resolve a legitimate dispute. The Parties agree that this Settlement Agreement shall not be considered an admission of liability or fault and no past or present wrongdoing on the part of either Party shall be implied by this Settlement Agreement.
- 3. If any provision or any part of any provision of this Settlement Agreement is for any reason held to be invalid, unenforceable or contrary to any public policy, law, statute and/or ordinance, the parties agree to negotiate in good faith to resolve the matter and the remainder of this Settlement Agreement shall not be affected thereby and shall remain valid and fully enforceable.
- 4. Notices should be sent in writing to the following persons:

TO COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO

TO UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD

Noel King

Director of Public Works 1050 Monterey Street, Rm. 207 County Government Center San Luis Obispo, CA 93408 Telephone: (805) 781-5252

Fax: (805) 781-1229

Terrel Anderson
Manager of Industry and Public Projects
Transportation – Western Region
10031 Foothills Boulevard
Roseville, CA 95747
Telephone (916) 789-6334
Fax (916) 780-6333
E-Mail @up.com

6. The CPUC's authorization to construct a Pedestrian Crossing shall expire within two years unless vandal-resistant fencing, walls, buildings or other barriers (collectively "barriers") are in place along at least one side of the railroad right-of-way between 14th and 16th Streets within said two-year period. If said barriers are in place within said two-year period, this authorization shall be extended an additional two

A.04-07-001 ALJ/GEW/hl2

DRAFT

years. If barriers are in place between the 11th and 14th Streets crossings within four years, the CPUC's

authorization to construct the Pedestrian Crossing shall be extended an additional two-year period. Any

additional request for extension may be granted upon the recommendation of the Rail Crossing Engineering

Section of the CPUC. These authorization times will also be extended by an amount of time required for

UP to review improvement plans, construction and maintenance agreements, encroachment permits and

other documents indicated in this settlement agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned Parties have executed this Settlement Agreement.

County of SAN LUIS OBISPO

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

4142epagr.doc 070187

INFORMATION REGARDING SERVICE

I have provided notification of filing to the electronic mail addresses on the attached service list.

Upon confirmation of this document's acceptance for filing, I will cause a Notice of Availability of the filed document to be served upon the service list to this proceeding by U.S. mail. The service list I will use to serve the Notice of Availability of the filed document is current as of today's date.

Dated June 11, 2007, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ ELIZABETH LEWIS
Elizabeth Lewis